

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/622,381	08/16/2000	Italo Corzani	CM1709	5312
27752 75	590 05/23/2003			
THE PROCTER & GAMBLE COMPANY INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DIVISION WINTON HILL TECHNICAL CENTER - BOX 161 6110 CENTER HILL AVENUE			EXAMINER	
			WEBB, JAMISUE A	
	CINCINNATI, OH 45224		ART UNIT .	PAPER NUMBER
			3761	15
			DATE MAILED: 05/23/2003	-

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		ے ع			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/622,381	CORZANI, ITALO			
	Examiner	Art Unit			
The MAN INC DATE And	Jamisue A. Webb	3761			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe					
THE REPLY FILED 12 May 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to available final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	old abandonment of this applica	ation. A proper reply to a			
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the control of	FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH date on which the petition under 37 CFI f extension and the corresponding amoi he shortened statutory period for reply of e later than three months offer the most	g date of the final rejection.  HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP  R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension unt of the fee. The appropriate extension			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR	(1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of	riod set forth in f the appeal.			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	cause:				
(a) ⊠ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
<ul><li>(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>	better form for appeal by mater	ially reducing or simplifying the			
(d)  they present additional claims without canceling	g a corresponding number of fir	nally rejected claims			
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.		Tany vejeeted claims.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	on(s):				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	pe allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed amendment			
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .					
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.					
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s explanation of how the new or amended claims wou	s) a) will not be entered or b)[ Ild be rejected is provided below	will be entered and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	•	, p. p. s. s. d.			
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,3,7-11 and 15-22</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a	) approved or b) disappro	oved by the Examiner			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement	(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).				
10. Other:	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	MELLINIO			
	S	SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The amendment adds the dopantis present between 1-1000 parts dopant per million parts adsorbent material. The concentration of the dopant was never claimed previously, therefore would cause further search and consideration.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The applicant is arguing the rejection based on the fact that the prior art does not contain the concentration of 1-1000 parts dopant per million parts adsorbent material. This limitation is not being entered, as stated above, therefore arguments are not persuasive and rejections stand as in the Final Office action.